Docket No.: C1271.70076US01 (PATENT)

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Gregory D. Lundquist, Jr. et al.

Serial No.:

10/595,792

Confirmation No.:

6750

Filed:

May 11, 2006

For:

OXIME SUBSTITUTED IMIDAZO RING COMPOUNDS

Examiner:

D. Margaret M. Seaman

Art Unit:

1625

## **DECLARATION OF DAVID T. AMOS UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.132**

I, David T. Amos, declare that:

- 1. I am an inventor of the subject matter disclosed and claimed in U.S. Patent Application, Serial No. 10/595,792, filed May 11, 2006, entitled "OXIME SUBSTITUTED IMIDAZO RING COMPOUNDS" (the '792 application).
- 2. This Declaration is presented for the purpose of removing from consideration by the Examiner published PCT application, WO 2005/051324, filed November 24, 2004, and published June 9, 2005 (the '324 publication). The present Declaration is presented in accordance with *In re Katz*, 687 F.2d 450, 215 USPQ 14 (CCPA 1982), and establishes that the allegedly anticipatory material in the '324 publication was not invented by another.
- 3. Tushar A. Kshirsagar, Gregory D. Lundquist, Jr., Joseph F. Dellaria, Jr., Bernhard M. Zimmermann, Philip D. Heppner and I are the inventors on the instant '792 application.
- 4. Larry R. Krepski, Joseph F. Dellaria, Jr., Daniel E. Duffy, Bernhard M. Zimmermann, David J. Squire, Gregory J. Marszalek, Philip D. Heppner, Tushar A. Kshirsagar, and I are the inventors on the '324 publication.

Application No.: 10/595,792 2 Docket No.: C1271.70076US01

5. Larry R. Krepski, Daniel E. Duffy, David J. Squire, and Gregory J. Marszalek are co-inventors on the '324 publication but are not inventors of the claimed invention in the '792 application.

- 6. Larry R. Krepski, Daniel E. Duffy, David J. Squire, and Gregory J. Marszalek did not contribute to the invention claimed in the '792 application.
- 7. I, David T. Amos, declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that these statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful, false statements and the like are made punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under §1001 of Title 18 of the U.S.C. and that such willful, false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patents that may issue thereon.

Dated: April 8, 2010

David T Amos